

Elizabeth Umland

From: Ralph Lancaster
Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2007 3:58 PM
To: Elizabeth Umland
Subject: FW: New Jersey v. Delaware: Oral Argument Format

-----Original Message-----

From: John Renella [mailto:John.Renella@dol.lps.state.nj.us]
Sent: Friday, February 02, 2007 4:19 PM
To: Rachel Horowitz; Ralph Lancaster
Cc: CSeitz@cblh.com; Amy Donlon; Barbara Conklin; Dean Jablonski; Eileen Kelly; Gerard Burke; William Andersen; DFREDERICK@KHTE.com; Mark Porada
Subject: New Jersey v. Delaware: Oral Argument Format

Dear Mr. Lancaster,

As you requested the parties have conferred on the format for oral argument on February 22, 2007, but have been unable to reach full agreement.

Since we have been unable to agree, New Jersey proposes the following. Subject to your approval, plaintiff New Jersey would argue first, then Delaware, and then both parties (first New Jersey then Delaware) have time for rebuttal. New Jersey proposes that a total of one hour be allocated to each party, with each party being able to reserve time from that hour for rebuttal. Delaware has indicated that as defendant it should proceed first in the argument, but would consent to New Jersey going first if more time is allocated for argument. My understanding is the Delaware will submit its proposal to you directly.

Respectfully,

John Renella
(609) 984-5612

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL